FY 2016 TEMPLATE

Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution (ECCR)¹ **Policy Report to OMB-CEQ**

On September 7, 2012, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Chairman of the President's Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) issued a revised policy memorandum on environmental collaboration and conflict resolution (ECCR). This joint memo builds on, reinforces, and replaces the memo on ECR issued in 2005.

The memorandum requires annual reporting by departments and agencies to OMB and CEQ on progress made each year in implementing the ECCR policy direction to increase the effective use and institutional capacity for ECCR.

ECCR is defined in Section 2 of the 2012 memorandum as:

". . . third-party assisted collaborative problem solving and conflict resolution in the context of environmental, public lands, or natural resources issues or conflicts, including matters related to energy, transportation, and water and land management.

The term Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution encompasses a range of assisted collaboration, negotiation, and facilitated dialogue processes and applications. These processes directly engage affected interests and Federal department and agency decision makers in collaborative problem solving and conflict resolution.

Multi-issue, multi-party environmental disputes or controversies often take place in high conflict and low trust settings, where the assistance of impartial facilitators or mediators can be instrumental to reaching agreement and resolution. Such disputes range broadly from policy and regulatory disputes to administrative adjudicatory disputes, civil judicial disputes, intra- and interagency disputes, and disputes with non-Federal persons and entities.

Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution can be applied during policy development or planning in the context of a rulemaking, administrative decision making, enforcement, or litigation with appropriate attention to the particular requirements of those processes. These contexts typically involve situations where a Federal department or agency has ultimate responsibility for decision making and there may be disagreement or conflict among Federal, Tribal, State and local governments and agencies, public interest organizations, citizens groups, and business and industry groups.

Although Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution refers specifically to collaborative and conflict resolution processes aided by third-party neutrals, there is a broad array of partnerships, cooperative arrangements, and unassisted negotiations that Federal agencies may pursue with non-Federal entities to plan, manage, and implement department and agency programs and activities. The Basic Principles for Agency Engagement in Environmental Conflict Resolution and Collaborative Problem Solving are presented in Attachment B. The Basic Principles provide guidance that applies to both Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution and unassisted collaborative problem solving and conflict resolution. This policy recognizes the importance and value of the appropriate use of all forms collaborative problem solving and conflict resolution."

1

¹ The term 'ECCR' includes third-party neutral assistance in environmental collaboration and environmental conflict resolution

This annual report format below is provided for the 10th year of reporting in accordance with the memo for activities in FY 2016.

The report deadline is February 24, 2017.

We understand that collecting this information may be challenging; however, the departments and agencies are requested to collect this data to the best of their abilities. The 2016 report, along with previous reports, will establish a useful baseline for your department or agency. Departments should submit a single report that includes ECCR information from the agencies and other entities within the department. The information in your report will become part of an analysis of all FY 2016 ECCR reports. You may be contacted for the purpose of clarifying information in your report. For your reference, prior year synthesis reports are available at http://www.ecr.gov/Resources/FederalECRPolicy/AnnualECRReport.aspx

FY 13 ECCR Report Template

Name of Department/Agency The National Guard Bureau (NGB)

Name and Title/Position of person responding (on behalf of NGB's 54:

Karen White, ADR Specialist

Division/Office of person responding: NG-EO-ADR

Contact information (phone/email): (703) 607-0770;

Karen.a.white54.civ@mail.mil

Date this report is being submitted: February 15, 2017

Name of ECR Forum Representative ___N/A_

1. ECCR Capacity Building Progress: Describe steps taken by your department or agency to build programmatic and institutional capacity for environmental collaboration and conflict resolution in FY 2016, including progress made since FY 2012. Include any efforts to establish routine procedures for considering ECCR in specific situations or categories of cases. To the extent your organization wishes to report on any efforts to provide institutional support for non-assisted collaboration efforts include it here. If no steps were taken, please indicate why not.

[Please refer to the mechanisms and strategies presented in Section 5 and attachment C of the OMB-CEQ ECCR Policy Memo, including but not restricted to any efforts to a) integrate ECCR objectives into agency mission statements, Government Performance and Results Act goals, and strategic planning; b) assure that your agency's infrastructure supports ECCR; c) invest in support, programs, or trainings; and d) focus on accountable performance and achievement. You are encouraged to attach policy statements, plans and other relevant documents.]

SD GUARD: THE South Dakota Department of the Military/South Dakota Army National Guard (SDARNG) annually collaborates with federal and state agencies in regards to environmental analysis of Army actions and projects by the SDARNG in regards to compliance, natural and cultural resources at its Austin and West Camp Rapid Training areas. No 3rd parties are involved. The current 2015-2019 Integrated Natural Resources Management Plans continue to map out detailed training and management strategies collaborated by both federal and state agencies. The current 2017-2021 Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan records historical significant sites and structures on all statewide installations, management plans, and historical significance with collaboration with both state and federal agencies. No further steps regarding ECCR by this state are currently being initiated.

Previous Environmental Assessment Public Reviews to solicit comments are normally addressed in-house with no 3 rd party collaboration.

2	FCCR	Investments	and Benefits
۷.	EUUR	mvesimenis	and benefits

b)

c)

a) Please describe any methods your agency uses to identify the (a) investments made in ECCR, and (b) benefits realized when using ECCR.

Examples of investments may include ECCR programmatic FTEs, dedicated ECCR budgets, funds spent on contracts to support ECCR cases and programs, etc.

Examples of benefits may include cost savings, environmental and natural resource results, furtherance of agency mission, improved working relationship with stakeholders, litigation avoided, timely project progression, etc.

stakeholders, litigation avoided, timely project progression, etc.
SD GUARD: No ECCR noted by South Dakota at this time.
Please report any (a) quantitative or qualitative investments your agency captured during FY 2016; and (b) quantitative or qualitative results (benefits) you have captured during FY 2016.
SD GUARD: No quantities or qualitative investments for 2016 noted at this time.
What difficulties have you encountered in generating cost and benefit information and how do you plan to address them?
SD GUARD: No cost generation or benefit information regarding ECCR noted at this time.

3. **ECCR Use:** Describe the level of ECCR use within your department/agency in FY 2016 by completing the table below. [Please refer to the definition of ECCR from the OMB-CEQ memo as presented on page one of this template. An ECCR "case or project" is an instance of neutral third-party involvement to assist parties in a collaborative or conflict resolution process. In order not to double count processes, please select one category per case for decision making forums and for ECCR applications.

	Total FY 2016		ision making fo the issues whe			ing	ECCR Cases or	ECCR Cases or		agency es and Projects
	ECCR Cases ²	Federal agency decision	Administrative proceedings /appeals	Judicial proceedings	Other ((specify)	projects completed ³	Projects sponsored ⁴	Federal only	Including non federal participants
Context for ECCR Applications:										
Policy development										
Planning										
Siting and construction										
Rulemaking										
License and permit issuance										
Compliance and enforcement action										
Implementation/monitoring agreements										
Other (specify):										
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			(the sum of the I should equal Tota	Decision Making al FY 2016 ECCF	Forums R Cases)					

² An "ECCR case" is a case in which a third-party neutral was active in a particular matter during FY 2016.

³ A "completed case" means that neutral third party involvement in a particular ECCR case ended during FY 2016. The end of neutral third party involvement does not necessarily mean that the parties have concluded their collaboration/negotiation/dispute resolution process that all issues are resolved, or that agreement has been reached.

⁴ Sponsored - to be a sponsor of an ECCR case means that an agency is contributing financial or in-kind resources (e.g., a staff mediator's time) to provide the neutral third party's services for that case. More than one sponsor is possible for a given ECCR case.

Note: If you subtract completed ECCR cases from Total FY 2016 cases it should equal total ongoing cases. If you subtract sponsored ECCR cases from Total FY 2016 ECCR cases it should equal total cases in which your agency or department participated but did not sponsor. If you subtract the combined interagency ECCR cases from Total FY 2016 cases it should equal total cases that involved only your agency or department with no other federal agency involvement.

4. ECCR Case Example

Using the template below, provide a description of an ECCR case (preferably <u>completed</u> in FY 2016). Please limit the length to no more than 2 pages.

Name/Identification of Problem/Conflict
Overview of problem/conflict and timeline, including reference to the nature and timing of the third-party assistance, and how the ECCR effort was funded
SD GUARD: No ECCR cases noted.
Summary of how the problem or conflict was addressed using ECCR, including details of any innovative approaches to ECCR, and how the principles for engagement in ECCR outlined in the policy memo were used
N/A
Identify the key beneficial outcomes of this case, including references to likely alternative decision making forums and how the outcomes differed as a result of ECCR
N/A
Reflections on the lessons learned from the use of ECCR
N/A

	N/A	
6. Pr	iority Uses of ECCR:	
and of For e enerous mana	se describe your agency's efforts to address priority or emerging areas of concross-cutting challenges either individually or in coordination with other agence example, consider the following areas: NEPA, ESA, CERCLA, energy develogy transmission, CWA 404 permitting, tribal consultation, environmental justice agement of ocean resources, infrastructure development, National Historic ervation Act, other priority areas.	cies. pment,
	SD GUARD: The South Dakota Department of the Military/South Dakota Army National Guard to date has not utilized ECCR 3 rd party collaboration for any issues dealing with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).Environmental Site Assessments (ESA), the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation Liability Act (CERCLA), or the Environmental Protection Agency (EPS). Investigations, collaborations arising from the execution of Military Construction Projects (MILCON), training area management, cultural resources management concerns have been addressed in-house with no costs associated with ECCR.	

5. **Other ECCR Notable Cases:** Briefly describe any other notable ECCR cases in the past fiscal year. (Optional)

I/A			

7. **Non-Third-Party-assisted Collaboration Processes:** Briefly describe other <u>significant</u> uses of environmental collaboration that your agency has undertaken in

N/A			

8. **Comments and Suggestions re: Reporting:** Please comment on any difficulties you encountered in collecting these data and if and how you overcame them.

Please attach any additional information as warranted.

Report due February 24, 2017.

Submit report electronically to: kavanaugh@udall.gov

Basic Principles for Agency Engagement in Environmental Conflict Resolution and Collaborative Problem Solving

Informed Confirm will Commitment leadership an

Confirm willingness and availability of appropriate agency leadership and staff at all levels to commit to principles of engagement; ensure commitment to participate in good faith

with open mindset to new perspectives

Balanced, Voluntary Representation

Ensure balanced inclusion of affected/concerned interests; all parties should be willing and able to participate and select

their own representatives

Group Autonomy Engage with all participants in developing and governing

process; including choice of consensus-based decision rules; seek assistance as needed from impartial facilitator/mediator selected by

and accountable to all parties

Informed Process Seek agreement on how to share, test and apply relevant

information (scientific, cultural, technical, etc.) among participants; ensure relevant information is accessible and understandable by all

participants

Accountability Participate in the process directly, fully, and in good faith; be

accountable to all participants, as well as agency representatives and

the public

Openness Ensure all participants and public are fully informed in a timely

manner of the purpose and objectives of process; communicate agency authorities, requirements and constraints; uphold confidentiality rules

and agreements as required for particular proceedings

Timeliness Ensure timely decisions and outcomes

Implementation Ensure decisions are implementable consistent with federal law and

policy; parties should commit to identify roles and responsibilities necessary to implement agreement; parties should agree in advance on the consequence of a party being markle to provide personnel.

the consequences of a party being unable to provide necessary resources or implement agreement; ensure parties will take steps to

implement and obtain resources necessary to agreement